Hinkson Creek Collaborative Adaptive Management Stakeholder Committee March 21, 2016

Attending Stakeholders: Facilitator Shawn Grindstaff, **Members**: Frank Gordon, Jeanine Pagan, Jim Loveless, Joe Engeln, Jonathan Sessions, Commissioner Karen Miller, Paul Land, Ben Londeree, Paul Mehrle, Jay Turner, Hank Ottinger, Gary Ward

Absent:

Guests: Bill Florea, Erin Keys, Todd Houts, Lynne Hooper,

- 1. Welcome- The meeting was opened by Shawn.
- **2. MOTION:** An Ottinger/Loveless motion to approve the December 2, 2015 Minutes by Hank carried unanimously.
- CAM Refresher- Shawn presented a Hinkson Fact Sheet and Implementation of the CAM Process to inform newer members of the process. Documents can be found at <u>http://helpthehinkson.org/CAMStakeholders.htm</u>

Following the overview, the committee at the request of Karen Miller discussed the challenge of continually struggling to make a quorum. The last two meetings were scrapped due to last-minute cancelations. It is also a challenge to just find possible dates to schedule with Shawn's schedule and the commitments of members.

MOTION: A Miller/Ottinger motion to change the required quorum from ten members to eight members carried unanimously.

4. Action Team Update

Erin Keys discussed the Level Spreader project and its functioning, as well as the newly installed monitoring equipment.

Lynne Hooper presented a "StoryMap on the Hinkson," and discussed the glossary of hydraulic terms used in the project.

MOTION: An/Sessions motion to upload the "Hinkson Creek at a Glance StoryMap" on the

website, carried unanimously. It can be found at

http://boonecountymogis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapJournal/index.html?appid=11727059 03384eb3a1f76fe7f05684b1

There were questions concerning the pending sewer project along the upper Hinkson near Mexico Gravel Road. Members wanted to know if a decision had been made on whether to bore or open trench the six crossings in the project. The plans will be out next week for bid, but do not specify which method will be used on the crossings. There are pros and cons to each method, the open cut is a temporary impact. Stream bank stabilization is part of the sewer project and was taken to the science team.

Members expressed a desire to hear a presentation addressing open-cut versus boring bore, and the pros and cons of each. Every project near a creek has to make this determination, and it would help us if we knew how the engineering community goes about deciding which method to use.

5. Science Team Update

Joe Englen presented on behalf of the Science Team a clarification regarding the Stream Team monitoring report presented at the last meeting by Ken Midkiff . The clarification can be found at http://helpthehinkson.org/CAMStakeholders.htm He added that the Science Team is discussing how to make better use of Steam Teams more targeted studies to complement what we have been doing.

6. Future Agenda items:

Open Cuts versus Boring

City/County/University Projects.

Help the Community to connect the dots.

7. Adjournment. The meeting adjourned at 5:21 p.m.